Opioid Recovery and Remediation Fund Annual Municipal Expenditure Report

AAA

Municipal Fiscal Year Funding

Public Record Acknowledgement

Please acknowledge that you understand that according to the Massachusetts public records law, any information shared in this report is a public record and will appear on the opioid settlement fund dashboard. *required field

☑ I understand

Municipality: Test Collaborating Muni, Lead 6

Fiscal Year Financial Details

According to the Massachusetts Attorney General's Office and previous expenditure reporting from your municipality, your municipality has the following amount of opioid settlement funds available:

Amount on File

FY25 Summary

These values were confirmed in the FY25 report and are displayed here for reference.

FY25 Disbursements + Carryover from Previous FYs $891717.73	**Available Funds Disbursed Prior to FY26** $887717.73 **Is this correct?** *required field ○ Yes ○ No
FY25 Expenditures $4000	

FY26 Summary

Please confirm the following payments of opioid settlement funds.

FY26 Settlement Payments	Payment Source and Date	Payment Amount	Is this correct? *required fields
FY26 Payment 1	Janssen Payment Year 5, 7/15/2025	$0	◉ Yes ○ No
FY26 Payment 2	Allergan Payment Year 3, 8/8/2025	$23150.22	◉ Yes ○ No
FY26 Payment 3	CVS Payment Year 3, 8/8/2025	$40995.68	◉ Yes ○ No
FY26 Payment 4	Distributor Payment Year 5, 8/8/2025	$79209.13	◉ Yes ○ No
FY26 Payment 5	Teva Payment Year 3, 8/8/2025	$22770.92	◉ Yes ○ No
FY26 Payment 6	Janssen Payment Year 6, 6/16/2025	$3540.63	○ Yes ◉ No 0.00
	FY26 Disbursement Total:	**$166125.95**	**166125.95**
	Total Funds Available:	**$1053843.68**	**1053843.68**

***Note: Accrued interest and funds from the McKinsey class action lawsuit are not included in these payments.**

Financial Collaboration Information

Are you collaborating financially with one or more municipalities or other entities?

This is defined as two or more municipalities who dedicate some or all of their opioid abatement funds to implement regional state subdivision agreement (SSA) strategies pursuant to a formalized agreement. Dedicating funds means that municipalities commit some or all of their opioid abatement funds to regional strategies, and those funds are managed by a host municipality or agent pursuant to an agreement. This includes agreements resulting from joint funding through Opioid Abatement Collaboratives (OACs) and shared service agreements.

* must provide value

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Are you the lead municipality or organization **responsible for financial reporting** for the municipalities collaborating funds (OACs)?

* must provide value

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Please choose the collaborative group (OAC):

* must provide value

Other

If you chose "other," please indicate the name of the collaborative: Example OAC

* must provide value

Thank you for reporting on behalf of your partners. It is important to note that **the remainder of this report should be answered on behalf of the collaborating municipalities**.

When you've completed the report, please download the pdf copy and send it to the municipalities you are collaborating with to confirm that the values entered are correct. You will be given a return code to be able to update the report if necessary.

Once the financial collaboration reporting is complete, you will be prompted to report on your own municipality's remaining funds.

I confirm that I have approval of each of the municipality/ies in the opioid abatement collaborative to submit annual expenditure reporting for all funds managed on their behalf. *must provide value	☑ I confirm
I have verified with each municipality in the opioid abatement collaborative that the expenditure information I am submitting on their behalf is accurate. *must provide value	☑ I have verified

How much of *your municipality's* funding was dedicated to collaborative funding this fiscal year?

100000

Collaborating Municipalities

Please choose the municipalities involved in the collaborative (one per line) from the drop down lists. For each participating partner municipality, please indicate their financial contribution for this fiscal year.

Partner Municipality	Partner Municipal Contribution	Partner Municipality	Partner Municipal Contribution
Other	5000	Choose partner municipality	
Other	5000	Choose partner municipality	
Choose partner municipality		Choose partner municipality	
Choose partner municipality		Choose partner municipality	
Choose partner municipality		Choose partner municipality	
Choose partner municipality		Choose partner municipality	
Choose partner municipality		Choose partner municipality	
Choose partner municipality		Choose partner municipality	

Total Funding in Collaboration:	110000

Were any expenditures made or encumbered from the collaborative opioid abatement settlement funds this fiscal year?

* must provide value

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Opioid Abatement Projects

Please describe the projects that you have funded using collaborative opioid settlement funds. Provide a project name or title and a description. The description should include project goal(s), target population(s), abatement strategies, and a brief overview of programmatic functions. Please choose which abatement strategies are used in each project. The strategies are detailed in the state subdivision agreement. In the subsequent expenditure table each line item will have an option to choose which project it is associated with.

If you have more than five projects more project lines will appear once the fifth project space is completed. There is space for up to 12 projects.

Project Title/Name	Abatement Strategies Choose all that apply	Project Description
Empowering People with Lived ...	[ ] OUD treatment [x] Support people in treatment and recovery [ ] Connections to care [ ] Harm reduction [ ] Address the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons [ ] Support pregnant or parenting people and their families [ ] Prevent misuse of opioids, prevention education [x] Assessment, planning, and evaluation	This project aims to empower PWLLE to take on leadership roles within their communities. This included hosting a leadership academy attended by 20 PWLLE who work and/or volunteer at local community-based organizations. Graduates obtained tools, strategies, and practices aimed at centering community voices, promoting inclusion, preventing tokenism, and embedding engagement into policies and programs. We surpassed our initial goal that 70% of graduates to serve on the PWLLE steering committee by 20%. Specifically, the PWLLE is compassed of 18 individuals who oversee the strategic planning of opioid abatement funds.
Project 2	[ ] OUD treatment [ ] Support people in treatment and recovery [ ] Connections to care [ ] Harm reduction [ ] Address the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons [ ] Support pregnant or parenting people and their families [ ] Prevent misuse of opioids, prevention education [ ] Assessment, planning, and evaluation	project goal(s), target population(s), abatement strategies, brief overview of programmatic function(s)
Project 3	[ ] OUD treatment [ ] Support people in treatment and recovery [ ] Connections to care [ ] Harm reduction [ ] Address the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons [ ] Support pregnant or parenting people and their families [ ] Prevent misuse of opioids, prevention education [ ] Assessment, planning, and evaluation	project goal(s), target population(s), abatement strategies, brief overview of programmatic function(s)
Project 4	[ ] OUD treatment [ ] Support people in treatment and recovery [ ] Connections to care [ ] Harm reduction [ ] Address the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons [ ] Support pregnant or parenting people and their families [ ] Prevent misuse of opioids, prevention education [ ] Assessment, planning, and evaluation	project goal(s), target population(s), abatement strategies, brief overview of programmatic function(s)

Project 5	☐ OUD treatment ☐ Support people in treatment and recovery ☐ Connections to care ☐ Harm reduction ☐ Address the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons ☐ Support pregnant or parenting people and their families ☐ Prevent misuse of opioids, prevention education ☐ Assessment, planning, and evaluation	project goal(s), target population(s), abatement strategies, brief overview of programmatic function(s)

Line-Item Expenditure Reporting

Please provide detailed information for each individual expenditure using one row per line item. This table should reflect only actual expenditures or encumbrances made during the fiscal year 26.

If you have more than 10 line items additional expenditure lines will appear every ten completed lines. There is space for up to 30 line items.

	Item	Amount	Project	Spending Category	Description	Status	Offset Information	Notes
	List to whom the expenditure was paid or encumbered (vendor/company)	Provide the dollar amount of the expenditure or encumbrance. Use numbers without commas or dollar signs	Choose which project from list above expenditure corresponds	Choose the most appropriate category for the item listed	Provide a brief description of the purpose of the funds	Note if the expenditure is already paid, or encumbered but not paid	If there were funds that offset this cost please include that information here.	Any notes or details that would be helpful for context/ explanation
1	Collect	30000	Empowering People with Lived and Living Exp	Program support	Designed,	Expended	Offset Amc	Optiona
2	Collect	25000	Empowering People with Lived and Living Exp	Subcontracts	Stipends fc	Expended	Offset Amc	Optiona
3	Panera	750.29	Empowering People with Lived and Living Exp	Program supplies	Food and r	Expended	Offset Amc	Optiona
4	Town o	1200	Empowering People with Lived and Living Exp	Administrative costs	Admin cos	Expended	Offset Amc	Optiona
5	Town o	1500	Empowering People with Lived and Living Exp	Administrative costs	Admin cos	Expended	Offset Amc	Optiona
6	Comm	29730	Empowering People with Lived and Living Exp	Program support	Consultant	Encumbered	Offset Amc	Optiona
7	Comm	27000	Empowering People with Lived and Living Exp	Subcontracts	Stipends fc	Encumbered	Offset Amc	Optiona
8	Expenc	00.00			Descriptio		Offset Amc	Optiona
9	Expenc	00.00			Descriptio		Offset Amc	Optiona
10	Expenc	00.00			Descriptio		Offset Amc	Optiona

Please ensure these calculations match your records.

There are more detailed calculations below.

Total Funds Expended	58450.29
Total Funds Encumbered	56730

Based on your responses, the amount expended exceeds the total amount to report on from this fiscal year. Please indicate how much additional funding was utilized and the funding source(s).

This can include but is not limited to carryover settlement funds from previous fiscal years and funds received from the McKinsey class action settlement.

Additional Funds Utilized	
Amount: $	5180.29

Source(s):	Carryover funds
Notes:	Opioid settlement funds that were not utilized from previous fiscal years

Based on your responses, you have utilized 104.71 **% of your FY26 funds.**

What, if any, factors have been a barrier to spending your opioid settlement funds during FY26? (Select all that apply.)

- [x] Limited staff or administrative capacity to plan, manage, or implement activities
- [ ] Uncertainty about how funds can be used
- [ ] Uncertainty on how to navigate spending and approval processes
- [ ] Difficulty identifying, designing, or prioritizing appropriate projects and strategies
- [ ] Challenges obtaining community input, including engagement with People with Lived and Living Experience (PWLLE)
- [ ] Procurement, contracting, or vendor-related delays
- [ ] Leadership, governance, or planning delays (e.g., leadership transitions, collaborative formation)
- [x] Funding amount is too small to support a meaningful project
- [ ] Funds are being intentionally reserved for a future project or larger investment
- [ ] Other

Engaging People with Lived and Living Experience

Engaging people with lived and living experience (PWLLE) of substance use is critical to ensuring opioid settlement funds are used effectively, equitably, and in ways that reflect the realities of those most affected by the crisis.

By asking about how PWLLE are involved in decision-making, we aim to better understand how communities are centering the voices of those with direct experience. This information helps highlight strong models of inclusive governance, identify areas for improvement, and promote transparency in how decisions are made. It also ensures that spending decisions are informed by those who know firsthand what supports make a difference.

Please choose the statement most appropriate for how PWLLE were involved with your collaborative in decision-making around opioid settlement funded projects and expenditures.	PWLLE were empowered to lead and had autl

What activities did your collaborative use to empower PWLLE to lead decision making?

- [x] Informational meetings or presentations
- [ ] Newsletters, reports, or other communications
- [ ] Public announcements regarding settlement fund use
- [x] Surveys
- [ ] Listening sessions, focus groups, or town halls
- [x] Individual interviews
- [ ] Membership on a committee or advisory body
- [ ] Participation in strategic planning
- [ ] Participation in program design or evaluation
- [ ] Majority voting representation on a governing body
- [x] Formal approval authority over funding decisions
- [ ] Other

Which factors most helped your collaborative's engagement with PWLLE during FY26?

Select the factors and indicate whether each primarily helped (served as a facilitator) engagement with PWLLE for opioid settlement fund decision-making.

	Helped
Staff capacity or dedicated personnel to support PWLLE engagement	○
Partnerships with community organizations or PWLLE	◉
Funding or resources to support PWLLE engagement	◉
Training, guidance, or technical assistance on engagement practices	○
Ability to identify and make initial contact with PWLLE	○
Organizational readiness to support and sustain PWLLE engagement	○
Clarity on expectations for what PWLLE engagement should look like	○

Trust between local government and PWLLE	◉
Broader societal sigma around substance use	○

Which factors most limited your collaborative's engagement with PWLLE during FY26?

Select the factors and indicate whether each primarily limited (served as a barrier) engagement with PWLLE for opioid settlement fund decision-making.

	Limited
Staff capacity or dedicated personnel to support PWLLE engagement	◉
Partnerships with community organizations or PWLLE	○
Funding or resources to support PWLLE engagement	○
Training, guidance, or technical assistance on engagement practices	○
Ability to identify and make initial contact with PWLLE	○
Organizational readiness to support and sustain PWLLE engagement	○
Clarity on expectations for what PWLLE engagement should look like	○
Trust between local government and PWLLE	○
Broader societal sigma around substance use	◉

Budget Review Process

BSAS is launching a voluntary budget review process designed to help municipalities and collaboratives assess whether proposed opioid settlement fund expenditures align with the Massachusetts State Subdivision Agreement (SSA). The process is intended to support strategic planning, strengthen compliance, and reduce the risk of future reporting or oversight concerns.

Because budget review forms are individualized and prepopulated with specific fiscal and reporting data, BSAS must identify participating municipalities in advance.

There are many benefits to participation. In addition to expert guidance on planned spending, participation will:

- Be taken into consideration if a formal inquiry is ever required, as it demonstrates a good-faith effort to seek guidance, promote transparency, and align expenditures with allowable use standards.
- Be recognized on the public dashboard as having adopted best practice in opioid abatement planning and stewardship.

Would your collaborative like to participate in the voluntary budget review process?

◉ Yes

○ No

Open-Ended Items

Use the space below to describe your collaborative's work during FY26, including any approaches that worked well or challenges you encountered.

Please note any existing plans for future collaborative spending.

Our collaborative has identified several priorities for future regional spending, including expanding access to treatment and recovery supports, enhancing harm reduction services, and supporting prevention initiatives. Participating municipalities will continue meeting regularly to review community needs, coordinate investments, and develop joint funding strategies that maximize the impact of opioid settlement funds across the region.

Progress in opioid abatement extends beyond expenditures. Pre-expenditure activities, including inter-municipal coordination, stakeholder engagement, and planning, represent meaningful implementation work and should be documented as part of the municipality's abatement record. Describing this work helps demonstrate the full scope of municipal efforts to address the opioid crisis. Please share any non-expenditure progress your community has made. This may include community engagement and coordination, capacity building and planning, and/or systems change and readiness.

During the reporting period, our collaborative focused on building the partnerships and infrastructure needed to support coordinated regional opioid abatement efforts. Participating municipalities met regularly to review local data, identify shared priorities, and develop a regional strategy for future investments. The collaborative engaged community organizations, healthcare providers, recovery organizations, harm reduction programs, and individuals with lived and living experience to ensure planned initiatives reflect local needs and complement existing services.

The collaborative also established governance and decision-making processes, explored opportunities to braid funding with other regional initiatives, and identified projects that could achieve greater impact through shared implementation. While expenditures have not yet occurred, these activities represent

Please share a success story or highlight from your municipality's opioid abatement work. This can reflect efforts that have or have not yet incurred settlement fund expenditures, including planning, coordination, community engagement, or partnership development.

A key milestone this year was the successful establishment of a regional opioid abatement collaborative among participating municipalities. The collaborative created a governance structure, engaged community stakeholders, and identified regional priorities, including expanding access to harm reduction, treatment, recovery supports, and prevention services. By working together, municipalities have been able to coordinate planning, share resources, and develop projects that are better aligned with local needs. This collaborative approach has strengthened regional partnerships and created a strong foundation for future opioid settlement investments that are data-informed, person-centered, and designed to maximize impact across the participating communities.

If there are materials related to the work you'd like to highlight that would be appropriate to upload and share publicly, you can do that here.

I certify under the pains and penalties of perjury that the statements within this report are true and correct.

☑ I certify

Collaborative Funding Calculations	
FY26 Collaborative Funds Remaining	**% of FY26 Collaborative Funds Remaining**
This number is calculated by subtracting total expenses and encumbrances from the total amount of collaborative funds.	*This number is calculated by dividing the FY26 collaborative funds remaining by the total amount of collaborative funds.*
$ -5180.29	-4.71 %

Municipal Funding Calculations	
FY26 Municipal Funds Remaining	**% of FY26 Municipal Funds Remaining**
This number is calculated by subtracting the amount contributed to the collaborative from the corrected or confirmed FY26 funds.	*This number is calculated by dividing the FY26 municipal funds remaining by the total corrected or confirmed FY26 funds.*
$ 66125.95	39.8 %
Total Available Funds Remaining	**% of Total Available Funds Remaining**
This number is calculated by subtracting the amount contributed to the collaborative from the total available funds (FY26 and carryover funds).	*This number is calculated by dividing the total available funds remaining by the total available funds (FY26 and carryover funds).*
$ 953843.68	90.51 %

Next Steps in Reporting
According to your responses, you have committed your funds to a financial collaboration and are the lead municipality reporting on behalf of your partner municipalities.
Your responses indicate you have remaining funds in the amount of: $953843.68.
Your municipality is required to submit a separate expenditure report for non-collaborative municipal opioid abatement settlement funds. That report will be initiated automatically upon completion of the current report. Please report your contribution to the collaborative as an expense in your municipal report.

Submit

Save & Return Later

Powered by REDCap - Cookie policy

Annual Municipal Expenditure Report

AAA

Municipal Fiscal Year Funding

Public Record Acknowledgement

Reminder: In the previous form you acknowledged that you understand that according to the Massachusetts public records law, any information shared in this report is a public record and will appear on the Municipal Abatement Funds Data Dashboard.

Municipality: Test Collaborating Muni, Lead 6

Fiscal Year Financial Details

According to the Massachusetts Attorney General's Office and your previous expenditure reporting, your municipality has the following amount of opioid settlement funds available:

	Amount on File	Corrected values from previous form (if applicable)
FY26 Disbursement:	**$166125.95**	166125.95
Carryover Funds:	**$887717.73**	____
Total Funds Available:	**$1053843.68**	1053843.68

*Note: This does not include funds from the McKinsey settlement or accrued interest.

If you need to return to the previous form use the original link.

Mosaic Grant Funding Information

According to the previous report **$100000** from your municipality was contributed to the financial collaborative **Other**.

Please enter this amount as a line item in the upcoming expenditure table.

Please add the name of the collaborative as a project name and select it as the project associated with that line item expense. Choose "program support" as the spending category.

Fiscal Year Financial Details

Were any expenditures made or encumbered from your municipality's opioid abatement settlement funds this fiscal year?

* must provide value

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Opioid Abatement Projects

Please describe the projects that you have funded using municipal opioid settlement funds. Provide a project name or title and a description. The description should include project goal(s), target population(s), abatement strategies, and a brief overview of programmatic functions. Please choose which abatement strategies are used in each project. The strategies are detailed in the state subdivision agreement. In the subsequent expenditure table each line item will have an option to choose which project it is associated with.

If you have more than five projects more project lines will appear once the fifth project space is completed. There is space for up to 12 projects.

Project Title/Name	Abatement Strategies Choose all that apply	Project Description
Collaborative Contribution	☐ OUD treatment ☑ Support people in treatment and recovery ☐ Connections to care ☐ Harm reduction ☐ Address the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons ☐ Support pregnant or parenting people and their families ☐ Prevent misuse of opioids, prevention education	Collaborating with two other municipalities to implement a project to empower PWLLE

	☐ Assessment, planning, and evaluation	
Community Health Center	☑ OUD treatment ☑ Support people in treatment and recovery ☑ Connections to care ☐ Harm reduction ☐ Address the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons ☐ Support pregnant or parenting people and their families ☐ Prevent misuse of opioids, prevention education ☐ Assessment, planning, and evaluation	Supported a local community health center harm reduction and treatment programming
Project 3	☐ OUD treatment ☐ Support people in treatment and recovery ☐ Connections to care ☐ Harm reduction ☐ Address the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons ☐ Support pregnant or parenting people and their families ☐ Prevent misuse of opioids, prevention education ☐ Assessment, planning, and evaluation	project goal(s), target population(s), abatement strategies, brief overview of programmatic function(s)
Project 4	☐ OUD treatment ☐ Support people in treatment and recovery ☐ Connections to care ☐ Harm reduction ☐ Address the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons ☐ Support pregnant or parenting people and their families ☐ Prevent misuse of opioids, prevention education ☐ Assessment, planning, and evaluation	project goal(s), target population(s), abatement strategies, brief overview of programmatic function(s)
Project 5	☐ OUD treatment ☐ Support people in treatment and recovery ☐ Connections to care ☐ Harm reduction ☐ Address the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons ☐ Support pregnant or parenting people and their families ☐ Prevent misuse of opioids, prevention education ☐ Assessment, planning, and evaluation	project goal(s), target population(s), abatement strategies, brief overview of programmatic function(s)

Line-Item Expenditure Reporting

Please provide detailed information for each individual expenditure using one row per line item. This table should reflect only actual expenditures or encumbrances made during the fiscal year 26.

If you have more than 10 line items additional expenditure lines will appear every ten completed lines. There is space for up to 30 line items.

	Item	Amount	Project	Spending Category	Description	Status	Offset Information	Notes
	List to whom expenditure was paid or encumbered (vendor/company)	Provide the dollar amount of the expenditure or encumbrance. Use numbers without commas or dollar signs	Choose which project listed this expenditure corresponds with	Choose the most appropriate category for the item listed	Provide a brief description of the purpose of the funds	Note if the expenditure is already paid, or encumbered but not paid	If there were funds that offset this cost please include that information here	Any notes or details that would be helpful for context or explanation
1	Collab	100000	Collaborative Contribution	Program support	Collaborat	Expended	Offset Amc	Optiona
2	Comm	5000	Community Health Center	Program support	Supported	Expended	Offset Amc	Optiona
3	Comm	60000	Community Health Center	Salaries	1.0 FTE rec	Expended	Offset Amc	Optiona
4	Expen	00.00			Descriptio		Offset Amc	Optiona
5	Expen	00.00			Descriptio		Offset Amc	Optiona
6	Expen	00.00			Descriptio		Offset Amc	Optiona
7	Expen	00.00			Descriptio		Offset Amc	Optiona
8	Expen	00.00			Descriptio		Offset Amc	Optiona
9	Expen	00.00			Descriptio		Offset Amc	Optiona
10	Expen	00.00			Descriptio		Offset Amc	Optiona

Please ensure these calculations match your records.	
Total Funds Expended	165000
Total Funds Encumbered	0
Total Remaining FY26 Funds	1125.95

Based on your responses, you have utilized 99.32 **% of your FY26 funds.**

What, if any, factors have been a barrier to spending your opioid settlement funds during FY26? (Select all that apply.)

- [ ] Limited staff or administrative capacity to plan, manage, or implement activities
- [ ] Uncertainty about how funds can be used
- [ ] Uncertainty about how to navigate spending and approval processes
- [ ] Difficulty identifying, designing, or prioritizing appropriate projects and strategies
- [ ] Challenges obtaining community input, including engagement with People with Lived and Living Experience (PWLLE)
- [x] Procurement, contracting, or vendor-related delays
- [ ] Leadership, governance, or planning delays (e.g., leadership transitions, collaborative formation)
- [x] Funding amount is too small to support a meaningful project
- [ ] Funds are being intentionally reserved for a future project or larger investment
- [ ] Other

Engaging People with Lived and Living Experience

Engaging people with lived and living experience (PWLLE) of substance use is critical to ensuring opioid settlement funds are used effectively, equitably, and in ways that reflect the realities of those most affected by the crisis.

By asking about how PWLLE are involved in decision-making, we aim to better understand how communities are centering the voices of those with direct experience. This information helps highlight strong models of inclusive governance, identify areas for improvement, and promote transparency in how decisions are made. It also ensures that spending decisions are informed by those who know firsthand what supports make a difference.

Please choose the statement most appropriate for how PWLLE were involved with your municipality in decision-making around opioid settlement funded projects and expenditures.	PWLLE were empowered to lead and had aut

What activities did your municipality use to empower PWLLE to lead decision making?

- [x] Informational meetings or presentations
- [ ] Newsletters, reports, or other communications
- [ ] Public announcements regarding settlement fund use
- [ ] Surveys
- [ ] Listening sessions, focus groups, or town halls
- [x] Individual interviews
- [ ] Membership on a committee or advisory body
- [ ] Participation in strategic planning
- [ ] Participation in program design or evaluation
- [x] Majority voting representation on a governing body
- [ ] Formal approval authority over funding decisions
- [ ] Other

Which factors most influenced your municipality's engagement with PWLLE during FY26?

Select the factors and indicate whether each primarily helped (served as a facilitator) engagement of PWLLE in opioid settlement fund decision-making.

	Helped
Staff capacity or dedicated personnel to support PWLLE engagement	○
Partnerships with community organizations or PWLLE	◉
Funding or resources to support PWLLE engagement	◉
Training, guidance, or technical assistance on engagement practices	◉
Ability to identify and make initial contact with PWLLE	○
Organizational readiness to support and sustain PWLLE engagement	○
Clarity on expectations for what PWLLE engagement should look like	○
Trust between local government and PWLLE	○
Broader societal sigma around substance use	○

Which factors most influenced your municipality's engagement with PWLLE during FY26?

Select the factors and indicate whether each primarily limited (served as a barrier) engagement of PWLLE in opioid settlement fund decision-making.

	Limited
Staff capacity or dedicated personnel to support PWLLE engagement	○
Partnerships with community organizations or PWLLE	○
Funding or resources to support PWLLE engagement	○
Training, guidance, or technical assistance on engagement practices	○

Ability to identify and make initial contact with PWLLE	○
Organizational readiness to support and sustain PWLLE engagement	◉
Clarity on expectations for what PWLLE engagement should look like	○
Trust between local government and PWLLE	○
Broader societal sigma around substance use	◉

Is there anything else you'd like us to know about your experience engaging PWLLE in your work with opioid settlement funds?

Budget Review Process

BSAS is launching a voluntary budget review process designed to help municipalities and collaboratives assess whether proposed opioid settlement fund expenditures align with the Massachusetts State Subdivision Agreement (SSA). The process is intended to support strategic planning, strengthen compliance, and reduce the risk of future reporting or oversight concerns.

Because budget review forms are individualized and prepopulated with specific fiscal and reporting data, BSAS must identify participating municipalities in advance.

There are many benefits to participation. In addition to expert guidance on planned spending, participation will:

- Be taken into consideration if a formal inquiry is ever required, as it demonstrates a good-faith effort to seek guidance, promote transparency, and align expenditures with allowable use standards.
- Be recognized on the public dashboard as having adopted best practice in opioid abatement planning and stewardship.

Would your municipality like to participate in the voluntary budget review process?

◉ Yes
○ No

Open-Ended Items

Use the space below to describe your municipality's work during FY26, including any approaches that worked well or challenges you encountered.

Please note any existing plans you have for future spending.

Building on our planning efforts this year, our municipality intends to invest opioid settlement funds in initiatives that strengthen the local continuum of care. Planned projects include expanding recovery supports, increasing access to harm reduction resources, improving coordination among service providers, and addressing barriers that prevent residents from accessing care. Future expenditures will continue to be guided by local data, community feedback, and evolving needs.

Progress in opioid abatement extends beyond expenditures. Pre-expenditure activities, including inter-municipal coordination, stakeholder engagement, and planning, represent meaningful implementation work and should be documented as part of the municipality's abatement record. Describing this work helps demonstrate the full scope of municipal efforts to address the opioid crisis. Please share any non-expenditure progress your community has made. This may include community engagement and coordination, capacity building and planning, and/or systems change and readiness.

Our municipality strengthened partnerships among local organizations working to address substance use by convening regular meetings with public health, healthcare, public safety, schools, recovery organizations, and community-based providers. These discussions improved coordination, identified service gaps, and created opportunities to leverage existing resources alongside future opioid settlement investments.

Please share a success story or highlight from your municipality's opioid abatement work. This can reflect efforts that have or have not yet incurred settlement fund expenditures, including planning, coordination, community engagement, or partnership development.

During this reporting period, our municipality focused on building the internal capacity needed to effectively manage opioid settlement funds. We established planning and oversight processes, identified priority areas for investment using local data, and coordinated across municipal departments to support long-term implementation. These efforts have created a strong foundation for future opioid abatement initiatives.

If there are materials related to the work you'd like to highlight that would be appropriate to upload and share publicly you can do that here.

I certify under the pains and penalties of perjury that the statements within this report are true and correct.

☑ I certify

Municipal Funding Calculations

FY26 Funds Remaining	% of FY26 Funds Remaining
This number is calculated by subtracting total expenses and encumbrances from the corrected or confirmed FY26 funds.	*This number is calculated by dividing the FY26 municipal funds remaining by the total corrected or confirmed FY26 funds.*
$ 1125.95	0.68 %

Total Available Funds Remaining	% of Total Available Funds Remaining
This number is calculated by subtracting the total amount of expenses and encumbrances from the total available funds (FY26 and carryover funds).	*This number is calculated by dividing the total available funds remaining by the total available funds (FY26 and carryover funds).*
$ 888843.68	84.34 %

Thank you for completing the annual municipal expenditure report.

The data you've provided will be used to support statewide oversight, identify promising practices, inform future financial planning and training and technical assistance efforts, and populate a public-facing dashboard to help communities track progress and impact over time.

We appreciate your time and commitment to ensuring transparency and accountability in the use of opioid settlement funds.

Submit

Save & Return Later

Powered by REDCap - Cookie policy