Opioid Recovery and Remediation Fund Annual Municipal Expenditure Report

AAA

Municipal Fiscal Year Funding

Public Record Acknowledgement

Please acknowledge that you understand that according to the Massachusetts public records law, any information shared in this report is a public record and will appear on the opioid settlement fund dashboard. *required field

☑ I understand

Organization: Test Organization 6

Financial Collaboration Information

Please confirm you are an external entity reporting on behalf of a financial collaborative.

A financial collaborative, or opioid abatement collaborative (OAC) is defined as two or more municipalities who dedicate some or all of their opioid abatement funds to implement regional state subdivision agreement (SSA) strategies pursuant to a formalized agreement. Dedicating funds means that municipalities commit some or all of their opioid abatement funds to regional strategies, and those funds are managed by a host municipality or agent pursuant to an agreement. This includes agreements resulting from joint funding through OACs and shared service agreements.

* must provide value

☑ I confirm

Please choose the collaborative group (OAC):

* must provide value

Other

If you chose "other," please indicate the name of the collaborative: Example OAC

* must provide value

Collaborating Municipalities

Please choose the municipalities involved in the collaborative (one per line) from the drop down lists. For each participating partner municipality, please indicate their financial contribution for this fiscal year.

Partner Municipality	Partner Municipal Contribution	Partner Municipality	Partner Municipal Contribution
Other	25000	Choose partner municipality	
Other	45000	Choose partner municipality	
Other	30000	Choose partner municipality	
Choose partner municipality		Choose partner municipality	
Choose partner municipality		Choose partner municipality	
Choose partner municipality		Choose partner municipality	
Choose partner municipality		Choose partner municipality	
Choose partner municipality		Choose partner municipality	

Total Funding in Collaboration:	100000

Were any expenditures made or encumbered from the collaborative opioid abatement settlement funds this fiscal year?

* must provide value

◉ Yes

○ No

Opioid Abatement Projects

Please describe the projects that you have funded using collaborative opioid settlement funds. Provide a project name or title and a description. The description should include project goal(s), target population(s), abatement strategies, and a brief overview of programmatic functions. Please

choose which abatement strategies are used in each project. The strategies are detailed in the state subdivision agreement. In the subsequent expenditure table each line item will have an option to choose which project it is associated with.

If you have more than five projects more project lines will appear once the fifth project space is completed. There is space for up to 12 projects.

Project Title/Name	**Abatement Strategies** Choose all that apply	**Project Description**
Recovery Coach Training	☐ OUD treatment ☑ Support people in treatment and recovery ☑ Connections to care ☐ Harm reduction ☐ Address the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons ☐ Support pregnant or parenting people and their families ☐ Prevent misuse of opioids, prevention education ☐ Assessment, planning, and evaluation	This project supports the development of the recovery support workforce by providing training for individuals to become recovery coaches. The goal is to increase access to peer-based recovery support, strengthen connections to treatment and recovery services, and improve long-term recovery outcomes for individuals affected by substance use disorders, particularly opioid use disorder. Target populations include individuals with lived and living experience, peer support workers, and professionals seeking to provide recovery support. Program activities include training in peer recovery principles, motivational interviewing, ethics, trauma-informed care, harm reduction, recovery
Syringe Service Program	☐ OUD treatment ☐ Support people in treatment and recovery ☐ Connections to care ☑ Harm reduction ☐ Address the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons ☐ Support pregnant or parenting people and their families ☐ Prevent misuse of opioids, prevention education ☐ Assessment, planning, and evaluation	This project supports the operation and expansion of a syringe service program to reduce the transmission of infectious diseases, prevent overdose, and connect individuals who use drugs to health and recovery services. The program primarily serves people who use drugs, particularly those at increased risk of opioid-related overdose and other health complications. Activities may include distributing sterile syringes and safer use supplies, providing naloxone and overdose prevention education, offering safe disposal of used syringes, conducting infectious disease screening and referrals, and connecting
Project 3	☐ OUD treatment ☐ Support people in treatment and recovery ☐ Connections to care ☐ Harm reduction ☐ Address the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons ☐ Support pregnant or parenting people and their families ☐ Prevent misuse of opioids, prevention education ☐ Assessment, planning, and evaluation	project goal(s), target population(s), abatement strategies, brief overview of programmatic function(s)
Project 4	☐ OUD treatment ☐ Support people in treatment and recovery ☐ Connections to care ☐ Harm reduction ☐ Address the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons ☐ Support pregnant or parenting people and their families ☐ Prevent misuse of opioids, prevention education ☐ Assessment, planning, and evaluation	project goal(s), target population(s), abatement strategies, brief overview of programmatic function(s)

Project 5	☐ OUD treatment ☐ Support people in treatment and recovery ☐ Connections to care ☐ Harm reduction ☐ Address the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons ☐ Support pregnant or parenting people and their families ☐ Prevent misuse of opioids, prevention education ☐ Assessment, planning, and evaluation	project goal(s), target population(s), abatement strategies, brief overview of programmatic function(s)

Line-Item Expenditure Reporting

Please provide detailed information for each individual expenditure using one row per line item. This table should reflect only actual expenditures or encumbrances made during the fiscal year 26.

If you have more than 10 line items additional expenditure lines will appear every ten completed lines. There is space for up to 30 line items.

	Item	Amount	Project	Spending Category	Description	Status	Offset Information	Notes
	List to whom the expenditure was paid or encumbered (vendor/company)	Provide the dollar amount of the expenditure or encumbrance. Use numbers without commas or dollar signs	Choose which project from list above expenditure corresponds	Choose the most appropriate category for the item listed	Provide a brief description of the purpose of the funds	Note if the expenditure is already paid, or encumbered but not paid	If there were funds that offset this cost please include that information here.	Any notes or details that would be helpful for context/ explanation
1	Massac	7500	Recovery Coach Training	Program support	Supported	Expended	Offset Amc	Optiona
2	AdCare	3200	Recovery Coach Training	Subcontracts	Contractec	Expended	Offset Amc	Optiona
3	Staples	685	Recovery Coach Training	Program supplies	Purchased	Expended	Offset Amc	Optiona
4	Comm	68500	Syringe Service Program	Salaries	Supported	Expended	Offset Amc	Optiona
5	Data A	6100	Syringe Service Program	Subcontracts	Contractec	Expended	Offset Amc	Optiona
6	Expenc	00.00			Descriptio		Offset Amc	Optiona
7	Expenc	00.00			Descriptio		Offset Amc	Optiona
8	Expenc	00.00			Descriptio		Offset Amc	Optiona
9	Expenc	00.00			Descriptio		Offset Amc	Optiona
10	Expenc	00.00			Descriptio		Offset Amc	Optiona

Please ensure these calculations match your records.

There are more detailed calculations below.

Total Funds Expended	85985
Total Funds Encumbered	0

Based on your responses, you have utilized 85.99 **% of your FY26 funds.**

What, if any, factors have been a barrier to spending your opioid settlement funds during FY26? (Select all that apply.)

- [ ] Limited staff or administrative capacity to plan, manage, or implement activities
- [ ] Uncertainty about how funds can be used
- [ ] Uncertainty on how to navigate spending and approval processes
- [ ] Difficulty identifying, designing, or prioritizing appropriate projects and strategies
- [x] Challenges obtaining community input, including engagement with People with Lived and Living Experience (PWLLE)
- [x] Procurement, contracting, or vendor-related delays
- [ ] Leadership, governance, or planning delays (e.g., leadership transitions, collaborative formation)
- [ ] Funding amount is too small to support a meaningful project
- [ ] Funds are being intentionally reserved for a future project or larger investment
- [ ] Other

Engaging People with Lived and Living Experience

Engaging people with lived and living experience (PWLLE) of substance use is critical to ensuring opioid settlement funds are used effectively, equitably, and in ways that reflect the realities of those most affected by the crisis.

By asking about how PWLLE are involved in decision-making, we aim to better understand how communities are centering the voices of those with direct experience. This information helps highlight strong models of inclusive governance, identify areas for improvement, and promote transparency in how decisions are made. It also ensures that spending decisions are informed by those who know firsthand what supports make a difference.

Please choose the statement most appropriate for how PWLLE were involved with your collaborative in decision-making around opioid settlement funded projects and expenditures.	PWLLE were involved in decision-making.

What engagement activities did your collaborative use to involve PWLLE?

- [ ] Informational meetings or presentations
- [ ] Newsletters, reports, or other communications
- [ ] Public announcements regarding settlement fund use
- [x] Surveys
- [ ] Listening sessions, focus groups, or town halls
- [ ] Individual interviews
- [ ] Membership on a committee or advisory body
- [ ] Participation in strategic planning
- [x] Participation in program design or evaluation
- [ ] Other

Which factors most helped your collaborative's engagement with PWLLE during FY26?

Select the factors and indicate whether each primarily helped (served as a facilitator) engagement with PWLLE for opioid settlement fund decision-making.

	Helped
Staff capacity or dedicated personnel to support PWLLE engagement	○
Partnerships with community organizations or PWLLE	○
Funding or resources to support PWLLE engagement	○
Training, guidance, or technical assistance on engagement practices	○
Ability to identify and make initial contact with PWLLE	◉
Organizational readiness to support and sustain PWLLE engagement	○
Clarity on expectations for what PWLLE engagement should look like	○
Trust between local government and PWLLE	○
Broader societal sigma around substance use	○

Which factors most limited your collaborative's engagement with PWLLE during FY26?

Select the factors and indicate whether each primarily limited (served as a barrier) engagement with PWLLE for opioid settlement fund decision-making.

	Limited
Staff capacity or dedicated personnel to support PWLLE engagement	◉
Partnerships with community organizations or PWLLE	○
Funding or resources to support PWLLE engagement	○
Training, guidance, or technical assistance on engagement practices	○
Ability to identify and make initial contact with PWLLE	○
Organizational readiness to support and sustain PWLLE engagement	○
Clarity on expectations for what PWLLE engagement should look like	○
Trust between local government and PWLLE	○
Broader societal sigma around substance use	◉

Budget Review Process

BSAS is launching a voluntary budget review process designed to help municipalities and collaboratives assess whether proposed opioid settlement fund expenditures align with the Massachusetts State Subdivision Agreement (SSA). The process is intended to support strategic planning, strengthen compliance, and reduce the risk of future reporting or oversight concerns.

Because budget review forms are individualized and prepopulated with specific fiscal and reporting data, BSAS must identify participating municipalities in advance.

There are many benefits to participation. In addition to expert guidance on planned spending, participation will:

- Be taken into consideration if a formal inquiry is ever required, as it demonstrates a good-faith effort to seek guidance, promote transparency, and align expenditures with allowable use standards.
- Be recognized on the public dashboard as having adopted best practice in opioid abatement planning and stewardship.

Would your collaborative like to participate in the voluntary budget review process?

◉ Yes

○ No

Open-Ended Items

Use the space below to describe your collaborative's work during FY26, including any approaches that worked well or challenges you encountered.

Please note any existing plans for future collaborative spending.

Our collaborative will continue to coordinate regional opioid abatement investments to address shared priorities identified through local data, stakeholder engagement, and input from people with lived and living experience. Planned initiatives include expanding access to harm reduction services, increasing recovery support and peer-based programming, strengthening prevention efforts, and improving connections to treatment across participating municipalities. The collaborative will continue to meet regularly to review community needs, evaluate opportunities for shared investments, and coordinate funding decisions to maximize regional impact, reduce duplication of services, and

Progress in opioid abatement extends beyond expenditures. Pre-expenditure activities, including inter-municipal coordination, stakeholder engagement, and planning, represent meaningful implementation work and should be documented as part of the municipality's abatement record. Describing this work helps demonstrate the full scope of municipal efforts to address the opioid crisis. Please share any non-expenditure progress your community has made. This may include community engagement and coordination, capacity building and planning, and/or systems change and readiness.

>During the reporting period, our collaborative made significant progress in preparing for coordinated opioid abatement efforts across participating municipalities. Representatives met regularly to review regional overdose and service utilization data, identify shared priorities, and develop a strategic framework for future investments. The collaborative also engaged healthcare providers, recovery organizations, harm reduction programs, public safety agencies, schools, and people with lived and living experience to ensure planned initiatives reflect community needs and complement existing services.

In addition, the collaborative established governance and decision-making processes, identified opportunities to leverage opioid settlement funds alongside other funding sources, and strengthened partnerships among participating municipalities and community organizations. These planning, coordination, and capacity-building activities have created a strong foundation for implementing regional, evidence-based

Please share a success story or highlight from your municipality's opioid abatement work. This can reflect efforts that have or have not yet incurred settlement fund expenditures, including planning, coordination, community engagement, or partnership development.

One of the greatest successes during this reporting period has been strengthening regional collaboration among participating municipalities. Through regular meetings and shared planning, the collaborative brought together municipal leaders, public health professionals, healthcare providers, community organizations, and individuals with lived and living experience to develop a coordinated approach to opioid abatement. This process helped identify shared priorities, build strong cross-sector partnerships, and establish a framework for making strategic, data-informed investments that will benefit communities across the region.

If there are materials related to the work you'd like to highlight that would be appropriate to upload and share publicly, you can do that here.

I certify under the pains and penalties of perjury that the statements within this report are true and correct.

☑ I certify

Collaborative Funding Calculations	
FY26 Collaborative Funds Remaining	**% of FY26 Collaborative Funds Remaining**
This number is calculated by subtracting total expenses and encumbrances from the total amount of collaborative funds.	*This number is calculated by dividing the FY26 collaborative funds remaining by the total amount of collaborative funds.*
$ 14015	14.02 %

Next Steps in Reporting
Thank you for completing the report on behalf of the collaborating municipalities.
☑ This is the end of the report.
- Download a PDF of the completed collaborative report. - Send it to partner municipalities to confirm accuracy. - You can return to make updates later using the same link.

Submit

Save & Return Later

Powered by REDCap - Cookie policy